(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, AT – BILASPUR (C.G.)

W. P. No. 1942 OF 2006 **Single Bench**

PETITIONER

RECEIVED-COPY 12/9/06 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

M. K. PRUTHI,
AGED – 51 YEARS
SON OF SHIR S. K. PRUTHI
WORKING AS – INCHARGE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER, AT – MAHASAMUND,
R/O G – 33, OPPOSITE ZILA PANCHAYAT,
MAHASAMUND, DISTT. – MAHASAMUND (C. G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH
THROUGH – THE SECRETARY
DEPARTMENT OF SCHOOL EDUCATION,
D.K.S. BHAWAN, RAIPUR

P.R. No. 2001/06 Presented by Shri R.K. Shukla dated 12/9/06

2. SHRI A. B. DUBEY,
THE DIRECTOR,
PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
CHHATTISGARH, RAIPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 & 227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(99)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP No. 1942 सन् 2006

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	24-4-2006:- Shri R.K. Kesharwani, counsel for the petitioner. Miss Dipali Pandey, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondent No.1. Heard both the counsel. By this writ petition, the petitioner has questioned legality, validity and correctness of the orders Annexures-P/1 & P/2 whereby the petitioner has been placed under suspension on account of misconduct mentioned in these annexures i.e. he was appointed as Officer-in-Charge in the Writ Petition No.4411/2004 in which he was negligent in ensuring filing of the reply of the said petition which ultimately forced the Director of Education to appear before the Court. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there was no negligence on the part of the petitioner. The petitioner joined on the post of District Education Officer on 4-10-2005, thereafter, he was summoned by the A.G. Office for 3 times but the reply could not be filed by the officer-in-charge, as the officer-in-charge was not appointed in another Writ Petition No.4182/2004 and it was said that reply in this writ petition will be filed only after filing of the writ petition No.4182/2004. These are the disputed facts. The petitioner is required to file reply of the charge sheet issued to him and he can raise all these points in the reply. After filing reply, the Enquiry Officer shall consider all those replies and shall pass the order in accordance with law and try to dispose of enquiry at the earliest, but not later than 6 months from today. In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.1482/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	